

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference P18664PCT | FOR FURTHER ACTION | | See item 4 below |
| International application No. PCT/US2005/024374 | International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 08 July 2005 (08.07.2005) | Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 14 July 2004 (14.07.2004) | |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 | | | |
| Applicant INTEL CORPORATION | | | |

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

| | |
|---|--|
| Date of issuance of this report 16 January 2007 (16.01.2007) | |
| The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 | Authorized officer Ellen Moyse e-mail: pt05@wipo.int |

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 | | FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below | |
| International application No. PCT/US2005/024374 | International filing date (day/month/year) 08.07.2005 | Priority date (day/month/year) 14.07.2004 | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. H04L9/08 | | | |
| Applicant INTEL CORPORATION | | | |

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| Name and mailing address of the ISA:  European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 | Date of completion of this opinion see form PCT/ISA/210 | Authorized Officer SAN MILLAN MAESO, J Telephone No. +49 30 25901-477 |
|---|--|---|



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US2005/024374

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 the international application in the language in which it was filed
 a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 on paper
 in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in electronic form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US2005/024374

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|--------|------|
| Novelty (N) | Yes: | Claims | 1-35 |
| | No: | Claims | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Yes: | Claims | |
| | No: | Claims | 1-35 |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Yes: | Claims | 1-35 |
| | No: | Claims | |

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: MENEZES, VANSTONE, OORSCHOT: "Handbook of Applied Cryptography"
1997, CRC PRESS LLC , USA , XP002394577
- D2: US 2004/103281 A1 (BRICKELL ERNIE F) 27 May 2004 (2004-05-27) cited in
the application

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-35 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.1. Independent claim 1

2.1.1. D1 consists of citations from the "Handbook of Applied Cryptography" which is a well-known textbook in the field of cryptography and discloses:

- (pages 548-551) establishing a protected on-line server to support key retrieval request from client computer systems;
- generating a key service public/private key pair for use in secure key retrieval processing;
- (pages 331 and 398) generating a pseudo-random value for a device;
- (pages 321, 322, 330 and 472) generating an encrypted data structure associated with a device, the encrypted data structure comprising a private key;
- (pages 397 and 398) generating an identifier, based on the pseudo-random value, for the encrypted data structure;
- (page 472) storing the identifier and the encrypted data structure on the protected online server; and
- (pages 397 and 398) storing the pseudo-random value and a hash value of the key service public key into non-volatile storage within the device.

2.1.2. Thus independent claim 1 cannot be considered as involving an inventive step because

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/US2005/024374

it would be obvious (see PCT International Search and Examination Guidelines, Chapter 13, Paragraph 13.13) for the skilled man to combine the technical features of D1 together and other well-known technical features and/or design options in order to arrive at the subject-matter of claim 1.

2.2. Independent claims 9, 17, 28 and 34

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 9, 17, 28 and 34, which therefore are also considered not inventive.

2.3. Dependent claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-27, 29-33 and 35

The features included in the above-mentioned dependent claims as far as they are not disclosed by D1 and/or D2 correspond to design options or are well-known in the field of Cryptography. It would therefore be obvious (see PCT International Search and Examination Guidelines, Chapter 13, Paragraph 13.13) for the skilled man to combine the teachings of D1 and D2 in order to arrive at the subject-matter of the dependent claims.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-35 is not considered inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

3. It is not at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new, allowable claim.